

Desk based TEMPO- Recommendation for Individual TPOs Pen Yr Alltwen Development

This report applies the TEMPO method to trees T3, T4, T5, T6 and T10, Scores for condition and retention span derive from the BS5837 tree survey; expediency reflects foreseeable development threats shown on the site layout.

Tree T3 – Beech (*Fagus sylvatica*)

BS5837 Category: B (Moderate)

TEMPO Scores:

- 1a Condition: 3 (Fair boundary tree of good form)
- 1b Retention span: 2
- 1c Relative public visibility (future land use): 4
- 1d Other factors: 4 (Member of group important for cohesion (northern roadside belt))

Amenity subtotal (Part 1 a–d): 13

- Part 2 Expediency of threat: 3 (Foreseeable development threat (access road intersects RPA))

TEMPO Total (Parts 1 & 2): 16

Conclusion: Definitely merits TPO (TEMPO ≥ 16).

Tree T4 – Sycamore (*Acer pseudoplatanus*)

BS5837 Category: B (Moderate)

TEMPO Scores:

- 1a Condition: 3 (Fair; multi-stem boundary specimen (monitor basal forks))
- 1b Retention span: 2
- 1c Relative public visibility (future land use): 4
- 1d Other factors: 4 (Member of group important for cohesion (northern roadside belt))

Amenity subtotal (Part 1 a–d): 13

- Part 2 Expediency of threat: 3 (Foreseeable development threat (turning head/road within RPA))

TEMPO Total (Parts 1 & 2): 16

Conclusion: Definitely merits TPO (TEMPO ≥16).

Tree T5 – Oak (*Quercus robur*)

BS5837 Category: B (Moderate)

TEMPO Scores:

- 1a Condition: 3 (Fair; boundary tree (ivy limits full inspection))
- 1b Retention span: 2
- 1c Relative public visibility (future land use): 4
- 1d Other factors: 4 (Member of group important for cohesion (northern roadside belt))

Amenity subtotal (Part 1 a–d): 13

- Part 2 Expediency of threat: 3 (Foreseeable development threat (new access encroaches RPA))

TEMPO Total (Parts 1 & 2): 16

Conclusion: Definitely merits TPO (TEMPO ≥16).

Tree T6 – Oak (*Quercus robur*)

BS5837 Category: A (High)

TEMPO Scores:

- 1a Condition: 5 (Good; prominent boundary tree of excellent form and vigour)
- 1b Retention span: 4
- 1c Relative public visibility (future land use): 5
- 1d Other factors: 2 (Particularly good form (high-quality example))

Amenity subtotal (Part 1 a–d): 16

- Part 2 Expediency of threat: 3 (Foreseeable development threat (Plot 01 driveway/slab within RPA))

TEMPO Total (Parts 1 & 2): 19

Conclusion: Definitely merits TPO (TEMPO ≥ 16).

Tree T10 – Beech (*Fagus sylvatica*)

BS5837 Category: A (High)

TEMPO Scores:

- 1a Condition: 5 (Good; prominent boundary tree with healthy crown)
- 1b Retention span: 4
- 1c Relative public visibility (future land use): 5
- 1d Other factors: 2 (Particularly good form (high-quality example))

Amenity subtotal (Part 1 a–d): 16

- Part 2 Expediency of threat: 3 (Foreseeable development threat (Plot 02 footprint/garden overlap RPA))

TEMPO Total (Parts 1 & 2): 19

Conclusion: Definitely merits TPO (TEMPO ≥ 16).