

Matthew Griffiths

From: Michael Fox <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 23 April 2026 15:42
To: Matthew Griffiths
Cc: Megan Price; Barry Collins; Charlie Kempson
Subject: Re: P2025/0187 - Data Centre at Tyn y Caeau
Attachments: CRM.9026.001.EC.R.002 - Bat Mitigation Technical Note - Land at Tyn-y-Caeau, Margam +.pdf

Caution: This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe. If in doubt, contact the IT Service Desk

Hi Matthew,

Further to our meeting on Monday and subsequent correspondence, please find attached a Bat Mitigation Technical Note prepared by Charli at Enzygo. As you will see, this responds directly to the issues raised by NRW and Megan. Specifically:

- It provides annotated architectural drawings illustrating all bat roosting provision (refer to p.7 specifically, with supporting explanation provided within the remainder of the Note).
- It also includes:
 - Details of the location, orientation, dimensions (height, width, length), volume and construction materials of any roost structure(s) (refer to tables on p.3-5)
 - The location and dimensions of any flight or crevice access points (refer to p.7 and tables on p.3-5); and
 - An indication of how access points and flight lines are to be kept free from internal or external light spill (refer to p.7 and p.5)

It supersedes the mitigation outlined in the text of previous correspondence, notably my emails of 9th and 17th April 2026. The drawings submitted detailing bat mitigation in the wider site ('(2026.04.08) 2335 GI Strategy - Overview' and '(2026.04.08) 2335 GI Strategy - Owl & Bat Box Location Detail Area') can remain as submitted, unless you would like them updated. I would hope this can be done before the committee as a supplementary submission, or conditioned, should this be required.

While the retention of the room in the building as a proposed day roost feature would result in the loss of one office space, there is currently more than enough for the operational requirements of the scheme. Therefore, it is unlikely to have a material impact on the proposal in planning terms.

Given this has now been provided, could you confirm whether the proposal will be going to the next Development Management Committee, and when this is likely to be held?

Please do not hesitate to give me a call should you need to discuss any of the above.

Regards,

Mike Fox
Director
