

Response to items raised within Stage 1 Audit dated 19th December 2016.

Section 2

2.1 General

2.1.1

It is unclear where the exact location of the surface water ponding on the footpath is located. If the footpath is within the works being altered by the Section 278 proposals then the footpath may well be re-built. If not the problem is for the local authority to note.

2.1.2

It is unclear where the exact location of the surface water ponding on the footpath is located. If the footpath is within the works being altered by the Section 278 proposals then the footpath may well be re-built. If not the problem is for the local authority to note.

2.1.3

It is unclear where the exact location of the existing manhole is located. However the issue of the manhole cover type in their footpath is for the local authority to resolve.

2.1.4

The existing manhole cover within the existing carriageway now within the new access road bellmouth and whether it is to be replaced is a matter for the local authority to resolve with the developer. Where a manhole cover is currently located within existing footpath which results in becoming located within new highway the cover will be changed to one suitable for traffic loading and which is approved by the local highway authority.

2.1.5

A tracking plan 1605/252 has been produced to indicate a large refuse vehicle track entering and exiting the site.

2.1.6

The existing manhole cover within the existing carriageway now within the new access road bellmouth and whether it is to be replaced is a matter for the local authority to resolve with the developer. Where a manhole cover is currently located within existing footpath which results in becoming located within new highway the cover will be changed to one suitable for traffic loading and which is approved by the local highway authority.

2.2 Non Motorised Provision

2.2.1

It is unclear where the exact location of the surface water ponding on the footpath is located. If the footpath is within the works being altered by the Section 278 proposals then the footpath may well be re-built. If not the problem is for the local authority to note.

2.2.2

It is unclear where the exact location of the surface water ponding on the footpath is located. If the footpath is within the works being altered by the Section 278 proposals then the footpath may well be re-built. If not the problem is for the local authority to note.

2.2.3

It is unclear where the exact location of the existing manhole is located. However the issue of the manhole cover type in their footpath is for the local authority to resolve.

2.2.4

The tactile paved crossing has been relocated however to position it as requested would interfere with the access to the pumping station.

2.2.5

The tactile paved crossing has been relocated however to position it as requested would interfere with the access to the pumping station.

2.2.6

Although there is a relocation of an existing streetlight there is a new streetlight sited on the bellmouth on the new access road. Whether the lighting limits are adequate will be determined by the local highway authority in possession of the Urbis streetlighting design.

2.2.7

Pedestrian refuge facilities have been added as requested.

2.3 Road Signs, Carriageway Markings and lighting

2.3.1

Although there is a relocation of an existing streetlight there is a new streetlight sited on the bellmouth on the new access road. Whether the lighting limits are adequate will be determined by the local highway authority in possession of the Urbis streetlighting design.